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When last we had the pleasure to work on TFANA’s stage it was on our production of Shakespeare’s *Cymbeline*: a late Romance replete with epic plot twists, an evil stepmother, potions and beheadings. In contrast, at first glance, *The Two Gentlemen of Verona* appeared to be somewhat superficial; being (probably) Shakespeare’s first play, it seemed perhaps a first draft of the plots and ideas he would make more complex in later comedies. But upon delving into it we quickly discovered that *Two Gents* is not merely a “shallow story,” like those that Valentine mocks in the first scene, but a human and layered play, that reveals questions and ideas of surprising depth.

In nearly every moment of this play the various characters wrestle with the notion of how to define and see the self. A young Shakespeare is asking us to examine how and where self begins, exists, and divides. How and why do we define our selves through friendships and lovers? Must we always be either servants to or masters of those we love? When can we see our authentic selves? When are we blinded? When do we see only our shadows? And how are we to know the difference?

The characters in *Two Gents* try to learn what they want by seeing their “selves”--their thoughts and desires--reflected and refracted back at them by the other characters. Like many of us, the young friends and lovers in this story are trying to find out who they are through their friendships and romantic adventures. As we get older, many of us grow to learn something similar to the lessons these young characters may come to understand - that often our early lovers and friends are really first drafts of the relationships that are to come. So we crumple up those first attempts and start again. We make revisions and iterations, keep the good parts and hope to improve upon the bad parts. Through this lens, the ending of this play is not really an ending at all, it is merely the end of a first draft at love; a draft full of passion, discovery, mistakes, truth, errors, beauty, remorse and forgiveness. And it is from these crumpled up drafts that the next chapter of life begins to blossom.

Shakespeare chose to engage these complex philosophical questions in *Two Gents* with simplicity and directness. And so we’ve tried to be simple and direct in our staging and our acting, so that the philosophy and humor within the play can be experienced and heard with honesty. It has been a great gift to meet the heart and mind of young Shakespeare as he tries to write his way into the world, and we hope you enjoy the process of getting to know this new playwright as much as we have. Thank you for coming.
Two young gentlemen, Valentine and Proteus, close friends since childhood, part ways as Valentine leaves Verona to improve his fortune at court in Milan and Proteus remains to woo his beloved Julia. When Proteus’s father insists that he likewise acquire worldly experience by joining Valentine at court, Proteus and Julia exchange rings and vows of loyalty before parting. Meanwhile, Valentine has fallen in love with Sylvia, the Duke of Milan’s daughter, and she too loves him though her father has promised her to rich Thurio. Launce, Proteus’s servant, speaks at length about his fondness for his dog Crab despite the animal’s disobedience. Launce and Speed, Valentine’s servant, convey clandestine letters for the lovers.

Upon arriving in Milan, Proteus falls instantly in love with Sylvia, a betrayal of Julia and Valentine, but he declares love more important than friendship. Learning from Valentine that he and Sylvia plan to elope, Proteus informs the Duke, who thwarts the escape and banishes Valentine. With Valentine gone, Proteus hopes to foil Thurio and establish himself as Sylvia’s preferred suitor. Meanwhile, Julia disguises herself as a boy named Sebastian and journeys to Milan to seek Proteus.

Pining for Valentine, Silvia rebuffs Proteus’ romantic appeals and berates him for his disloyalty. The dog Crab misbehaves so badly that the Duke orders him hanged, but Launce saves Crab’s life by accepting responsibility for his actions. In the forest, Valentine is attacked by outlaws—once honorable men banished by the Duke—who intend to rob him but instead make him their leader after learning his story. Julia arrives, disguised, and witnesses Proteus wooing Sylvia in vain. Proteus asks Julia (as Sebastian) to offer Silvia Julia’s own ring, which Silvia duly refuses.

Sylvia flees Milan but is waylaid in the forest by the outlaws. The outlaws are then waylaid by Proteus and Julia/Sebastian. Proteus says that this heroic rescue should earn him Sylvia’s love, and when she demurs he threatens to force his love upon her. Valentine, who has overheard all from a nearby hiding place, leaps out to save her and confront Proteus. Proteus then offers an apology after which Valentine declares himself “paid” and confirms Proteus “honest.” Julia reveals her identity, and she and Proteus join hands at Valentine’s bidding. The Duke arrives and blesses Sylvia and Valentine’s union, saying Thurio lacks Valentine’s heroic character.
The following quotes are selected perspectives on the play from notable scholars and artists.

“[Two Gentlemen] is a kind of love cartoon . . . Two young men, inexperienced in romantic courtship, compete for one woman. One of the men abandons his former love for a new one at least in part because the second lady is the object of his friend’s passionate affection. Critics have called this ‘mimetic desire’—a desire arising in imitation of another’s desire. Anyone who has been an adolescent will remember the emotion, and the inexplicable aura that attached to the ‘popular’ girl, or boy, who seemed to be popular because she or he was popular rather than for any identifiable individual characteristics.”


“Most of the adverse criticisms of this play are directed against the two gentlemen, who (the critics complain) are no gentlemen at all . . . Such criticisms assume that Shakespeare was trying to write a romantic comedy like *Twelfth Night*, and clumsily failing. It seems to me much more sensible to give him credit for knowing just how silly his gentlemen would appear . . . He was making fun of youthful infatuation and the conventional notions of eternal friendship and courtly love in order to entertain his popular audience.”

Francis Fergusson, *Shakespeare: The Pattern in His Carpet* (1958)

“The comedy of the two friends in *The Two Gentlemen of Verona* is not very funny—the point of it is their final attainment of self-knowledge. In a tragedy, a character would die in a deception such as Proteus’s. It’s the problem of infidelity and treachery that fascinates Shakespeare in the play.”

W.H. Auden, *Lectures on Shakespeare* (1946-7)

“It has been pretty well agreed that [the play’s final] scene is morally and dramatically monstrous: that a proposal to hand over a girl to the man who has just proposed to rape her revolts our moral sense and that the perfunctory speed with which these staggering events are recounted can only provoke our laughter.”


“[One] could say a great deal about the way [Shakespeare] uses his early clowns to extrapolate the follies of their masters, notably about Launce’s romance with his dog Crab as a burlesque of the extravagant romantic postures of the two gentlemen of Verona.”

C.L. Barber, *Shakespeare’s Festive Comedy* (1959)
“Take all my loves, my love, yea, take them all; 
What hast thou then more than thou hadst before? 
No love, my love, that thou may’st true love call; 
All mine was thine before thou hadst this more. 
Then if for my love thou my love receivest, 
I cannot blame thee for my love thou usest; 
But yet be blamed, if thou thyself deceivest 
By wilful taste of what thyself refusest. 
I do forgive thy robbery, gentle thief, 
Although thou steal thee all my poverty; 
And yet, love knows, it is a greater grief 
To bear love’s wrong than hate’s known injury. 
Lascivious grace, in whom all ill well shows, 
Kill me with spites; yet we must not be foes.”

William Shakespeare, Sonnet 40

“While Proteus, superficially the most important and independent character in this play, is too busy generating the main plot to be anyone much in his own right, the unwilling mongrel at the end of his servant’s string is obdurately and supremely himself throughout . . . Crab is visibly irreducible to the roles the play requires of him, serving neither as a sympathetic confidant to Launce nor as an acceptable present to Silvia, but just wonderfully being, as it were, a dog at all things.”


“I am hinting a comparison of Proteus with Crab; and I do not think it extravagant, provided one is not too serious about it, to see reflected in Crab, comically and a little pathetically, the transgressor in Proteus. The want of sensibility to old ties and to his friend Launce’s feelings which Crab is alleged to show at parting from home, is ominous as a parallel to Proteus’ parting from Julia and impending reunion with Valentine. As a present for Silvia, Crab resembles the love . . . Proteus proffers her. He is a sorry changeling for the true love gift Proteus meant to bestow. He is unfit for Silvia (persecuting her with most objectionable attentions!), and offensive where true courtliness should rule. Like Proteus, he gets his friend into trouble. And as Crab is only saved by Launce’s quixotic, self-sacrificial affection, so Proteus is only saved by the extremes to which Valentine is ready to carry his friendship and Julia her love. From them Proteus learns his lesson.”

John Madden’s wonderful 1998 film, *Shakespeare in Love*, presents an interesting take on *Two Gentlemen of Verona*. The film begins with that play on the boards, but its author, played by Joseph Fiennes, is shown suffering severe writer’s block. The theatrical impresario, Philip Henslowe, played by Geoffrey Rush, blithely encourages him to crank out another conventional comedy: “Love, and a bit with a dog, that’s what they like.” Queen Elizabeth I certainly likes the dog part; as played by Judi Dench, she cracks up at the scene of Launce and his mischievous pet during a performance at Whitehall. Yet the Queen dozes off during the romantic speeches that exhilarate Gwyneth Paltrow who plays the wealthy, beautiful, and wholly fictitious Viola de Lesseps. Indeed, Paltrow’s young lady is so enthralled by Shakespeare’s verse and so stage-struck with the excitement of live performance that she sets out to break the gender barrier of Shakespeare’s all-male theater where “heroines [are] played by pipsqueak boys in petticoats.” In a variant on one of Shakespeare’s recurrent plot devices, deployed for the first time by Julia in *Two Gentlemen of Verona*, the film’s young heroine disguises herself as a boy to audition for a part in Shakespeare’s work-in-progress.

The audition scene in the film is an unmitigated downer for young Will because every prospective actor recites lines of his far more successful rival playwright, Christopher Marlowe. Marlowe’s *Doctor Faustus* and his *Tamburlaine*, brilliantly staged by Theatre for a New Audience earlier this season, actually did outshine and overshadow the early works of William Shakespeare. When the disguised Viola proposes to recite “a speech by a writer who commands the heart of every player,” Shakespeare assumes she means Marlowe and remains dismayed until she gives a stirring delivery of the following lines:

> What light is light, if Silvia be not seen?  
> What joy is joy, if Silvia be not by?  
> Unless it be to think that she is by  
> And feed upon the shadow of perfection.  
> Except I be by Silvia in the night,  
> There is no music in the nightingale;  
> Unless I look on Silvia in the day  
> There is no day for me to look upon.

Will is elated because these are Valentine’s lines from Act 3 of *Two Gentlemen*, mourning his banishment after her father discovers his plot to elope with Silvia. The young playwright is also dazzled by Viola’s stunning performance, and he gives her the role of the hero in his upcoming play. Shakespeare soon realizes Viola is no boy, and they begin a love affair doomed by Will’s own marriage and Viola’s engagement to a brutal, fortune-seeking nobleman. But their personal romantic tragedy inspires his new work. *Romeo and Juliet* becomes the breakthrough play in Shakespeare’s career, and it lets him put comic bits with a dog behind him for the rest of his professional life.

*Two Gentlemen of Verona* may be Shakespeare’s very first play, perhaps written as early as 1590 or 1591. Accordingly, it’s often dismissed as not-ready-for-prime-time juvenilia.
One early editor, Lewis Theobald, is especially harsh, calling it “one of his very worst plays.” One problem stems from those two titulary gentlemen who, whatever their pedigree, are not really courteous, honorable, considerate, or gentle. Proteus proves treacherous to his friend and even more so to Silvia, threatening her with rape. Valentine thwarts this attempt but then, after Proteus apologizes, cavalierly offers to hand her over to his old friend, someone she justifiably regards as a “subtle, perjured, false, disloyal man” (4.2.92). Silvia is apparently left speechless by her lover’s callous offer of herself to her near rapist – indeed, she never utters another word. However, Julia, disguised as the pageboy, Sebastian, first faints and then reveals her true identity as the devoted lover of Proteus who has taken on this “immodest raiment” (5.4.105) to follow him to Milan. She shames him by declaring, “It is the lesser blot” for “Women to change their shapes than men their minds” (5.4.107-8), and Proteus vows to regard her henceforth “with a constant eye” (5.4.114). As a resolution of the play’s conflicts, this “happy close” (5.4.116) is certainly abrupt, if not forced. And it seems to confirm, as Janet Adelman and other critics have argued, that the play’s deepest concern is the male bond.

Male bonds were given top priority in Shakespeare’s patriarchal and sexist society because men were deemed superior to women and friendships between men were supposed to be more reliable than heterosexual love. Michel de Montaigne claims in his essay on friendship that “affection toward women” is “a rash and wavering fire” whereas friendship between men is rational, constant, and reciprocal since it’s a relationship between equals. Shakespeare’s Sonnets seem to endorse this distinction in their devotion to a beautiful young man whose friendship restores all losses, including, in Sonnet 42, the loss of his girlfriend to this same friend. The speaker is even more magnanimous than Valentine in relinquishing his beloved to his friend, even declaring, “But here’s the joy: my friend and I are one. / Sweet flattery! Then she loves but me alone.” Yet the further recriminations prompted by this love triangle suggest that such “sweet flattery” is hollow. Friendship proves no less fraught or neurotic than love.

At the same time, male bonds can have a surprising upside, even in their aggressive and competitive aspects. In this respect, Two Gentlemen of Verona resembles and anticipates its more sophisticated successor, Romeo and Juliet. When Valentine remarks that his friend’s “most forward bud” might be “eaten by the canker ere it blow” or blossom (1.1.45-46), he expresses the same concern as Montague does for Romeo when the father worries that his son is like “the bud bit with an envious worm / Ere he can spread his sweet leaves to the air” (1.1.149-150). Speed, Valentine’s clever servant, teases both Proteus and his own master and trash-talks their girlfriends. His crack to Proteus about sticking it to his mistress (1.1.99) is akin to Mercutio’s command to Romeo: “If love be rough with you, be rough with love; / Prick love for pricking” (1.4.27-28). Such exchanges are the Elizabethan equivalent of locker room talk, but, like locker room talk, they can help socialize adolescent passion. In a later battle of wits, rather than moping, Romeo gives as good as he gets, and Mercutio praises him for rising to the occasion: “Why, is not this better than groaning for love? Now art thou sociable, now art thou Romeo; now art thou what thou art, by art as well as by nature” (2.4.88-91). The artifice of their wit play articulates and channels natural passion; it’s a more boisterous version of Friar Laurence’s ideal balance of “grace and rude will” (2.3.24). The tragedy of Romeo and Juliet derives in part from their failure to find that balance as well as the destructive violence of their passions.

At the climax of Two Gentlemen of Verona, Proteus threatens violent assault upon Silvia, but Valentine steps forth to block “that rude uncivil touch” (5.4.60). Overcome by “shame and guilt” (5.4.73), Proteus can only offer his “hearty sorrow” and remorse as “sufficient ransom for offence” (5.4.74-75). This is apparently more than sufficient for Valentine; he not only immediately reconciles with Proteus but also offers, “All that was mine in Silvia I give thee” (5.4.183). This is hardly a graceful resolution, and Julia’s fainting makes that immediately obvious. After she revives, she reveals herself and rebukes her faithless lover, Proteus. He repents yet again and vows to remain constant to Julia; Valentine, by asking for “a hand from either” (5.4.115), reenacts their earlier hand-fast or betrothal ceremony of 2.2 and declares this “a happy close” (5.4.116). This is a somewhat happier outcome than the previous reconciliation because, unlike Valentine’s handoff of Silvia, it at least allows the woman to express her own desires. When Proteus responds, “Bear witness, heaven, I have my wish forever,” Julia adds, “And I mine” (5.4.118-119).
Julia and Silvia are both strong and attractive characters, easily outshining the two gentlemen of Verona. The women can be silly and vain, with Julia first refusing Proteus’ love letter, then tearing it up, and then kissing the torn fragments; Silvia in turn dictates Valentine’s love letter to herself. Yet they both prove far more constant and sympathetic than their lovers. Julia’s heartache at her lover’s betrayal is most poignantly conveyed in her reaction to his beautiful song, “Who is Silvia?” After she accuses him of playing false, she says, “I would always have one play but one thing” (4.2.69). Yet she herself plays the part of Sebastian, Julia’s servant. She also recounts how, as Sebastian, she played “the woman’s part” of the jilted Ariadne “in Madam Julia’s gown” (4.4.158-159) in a Pentecost pageant. It’s the same kind of gender-bending, multiple role-playing that the heroines will undertake in As You Like It and Twelfth Night. Sebastian adds that she “so lively acted with my tears / That my poor mistress, moved therewithal, / wept bitterly” (4.4.167-168). Silvia responds compassionately and says, “I weep myself to think upon thy words” (4.4.173).

Reflecting on Proteus’ betrayal, Julia laments, “Alas, how love can trifle with itself” (4.4.181). To trifle means to mock or trivialize but it can also mean to play-act. Edmund uses it this way when he stages his father’s mock-suicide and “miraculous” survival in King Lear: “Why I do trifle thus with his despair / Is done to cure it” (4.6.33-34). Julia trifes with her own despair by acting the role of Sebastian who acts the role of Ariadne in Julia’s clothes, moving everyone to tears. Of course the final twist in Shakespeare’s theater is that this girl playing a boy playing a girl is a boy-actor. However he is so convincing in playing “the woman’s part” that his role-playing occasions the play’s last joke. The Duke says, “I think the boy hath grace in him; he blushes,” and Valentine replies, “I warrant you, my lord, more grace than boy” (5.4.163-164). In Two Gentlemen of Verona, Shakespeare demonstrates his extraordinary gift for blending artifice and authenticity by showing how love can trifle with itself and still seem sincere. At the end of Shakespeare in Love, young Will wins a wager of fifty pounds after betting that a play could show “the very truth and nature of love.” He wins with Romeo and Juliet, but the boy playing “the woman’s part” pulls off the same paradoxical trick in Two Gentlemen of Verona.
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Before embarking for court at the start of Two Gentlemen of Verona, Valentine asks Proteus to pray for his success “on a love-book,” specifically “on some shallow story of deep love – / How young Leander crossed the Hellespont” (1.1.19, 21-22). His thoughts return to this icon of star-crossed love on the day of his planned elopement with Silvia, when he suggests that his rope ladder “Would serve to scale another Hero’s tower,/ So bold Leander would adventure it” (3.1.119-120). Valentine does not elaborate on the myth, but Shakespeare’s audiences would have recognized its dark foreshadowing: Leander meets his death while recklessly choosing passion over safety, swimming through a violent storm to reach his beloved Hero. Why should a comic protagonist turn to this inauspicious analogy each time he undertakes a journey? The allusion suggests that the play’s dark passions will find a centerpiece in dangerous travel, driven by erotic longing and culminating in catastrophe. This is not comedy’s standard narrative, and when Valentine first invokes Leander, he meets with mockery. “That’s a deep story of a deeper love,” Proteus tells him, “For he was more than over shoes in love.” “Tis true,” Valentine responds; “for you are over boots in love,/ And yet you never swam the Hellespont.” (1.1.23-26). Compared to Mediterranean seas, neither shoes nor boots are especially deep, and Valentine is right: despite Proteus’ claims of passionate love for Julia, he has not risked all for her, nor will he. Perhaps more surprisingly, even an apparently deeper love – for Valentine – fails to spur Proteus to follow his friend, until his father orders him to do so. Responses to the play typically focus on the two men, whose friendship is so intimate that they mirror each other uncomfortably; Proteus treacherously pursues his friend’s beloved Silvia, and more shockingly, Valentine magnanimously cedes her, despite her fervent opposition and her near-rape at Proteus’ hands. Yet the changeable Proteus is no Leander; nor is Valentine, who ignores his friend’s attempts to keep him close, and goes on to accept with docility both his banishment from Silvia and his friend’s pursuit of her. Leander’s tragedy haunts the play, but we will not see his reckless, catastrophic love in either of the male protagonists. Instead it is Julia, who – like Shakespeare’s similarly named Juliet, also from Verona – boldly faces danger to pursue her beloved, and meets with devastation. In reversing the standard gendering of sexual pursuit, Shakespeare upends conventional expectations. Two Gentlemen is often understood as establishing a foundation
for Shakespeare’s later comedies, and as a cross-dressing, runaway heroine, Julia offers a template for the more familiar figures of Portia, Rosalind, Viola, and Imogen. Her pathos lies especially in her position as a role-reversed wooing woman, hoping to win the affections of a man who loves another, and Shakespeare revisits this aspect of her role as well: in the Helenas of both *Midsummer* and *All’s Well*, and less directly in *Twelfth Night*’s pining Viola and *Comedy of Errors*’ neglected Adriana. These other comic heroines, unhappily forced to woo, find themselves similarly surrounded by tragic frames: *Midsummer*’s fleeing lovers are haunted by the specter of Pyramus and Thisbe; *All’s Well* begins with mourning; both Olivia and Viola lament their dead brothers between bouts of courtship; and *Comedy of Errors* opens with a bereaved father condemned to death. Yet *Two Gentlemen* is much more than an unripe version of fuller plays to come. Even among the notoriously genre-bending landscape of Shakespeare’s comedies, it stands out for the darkness of its ending, and especially for the unsettling status of its female characters, with their dubious reward of marriage to conspicuously faithless men. If the play constitutes an origin-point of sorts for Shakespearean comedy, recognizing the complex tragic women at its heart suggests a dark and jarring genealogy of the genre.

The courtship between Proteus and Julia begins with a Petrarchan structure typical of comedy. He complains of the hopelessness of his lovesick position: “I leave myself, my friends, and all, for love./ Thou, Julia, thou hast metamorphosed me” (1.1.65-66). She, meanwhile, plays the cruel mistress, feigning indifference, refusing his attentions, and shredding his letter. Yet in soliloquy, she admits that her hostility is a pose – “How angrily I taught my brow to frown/ When inward joy enforced my heart to smile” (1.2.62-63) – and soon she has not only confessed her love to Proteus, but sealed it with an exchange of rings. Her quick capitulation, and symbolic marriage, are bad signs. As a rule, comedies’ weddings must wait at least until the last act; those who wed early, such as Desdemona and Othello, reap grim harvests. It is hardly surprising, then, that Proteus turns to “three-fold perjury” immediately upon seeing his friend’s beloved Silvia (2.6.5), or that Julia, as the boy Sebastian, later describes playing the “lamentable part” of “Ariadne, passioning/ For Theseus’ perjury and unjust flight” (4.4.158-60). If Valentine wishfully romanticizes his travels as the undertakings of an ardent Leander, Julia aptly identifies her plight with the abandoned victim of a perjured sometime lover. Yet she presents her passive Ariadne part as behind her, in the past; by the time she takes on the new part of Sebastian, she has shifted into the active role of Leander.

This shift entails risks. Before leaving Verona, Julia worries: “how will the world repute me/ For undertaking so unstaid a journey?/ I fear me it will make me scandalized” (2.7.59-61). As Helena elsewhere complains to Demetrius, female pursuit constitutes a fundamental disruption not only of literary convention, but of the world order:

> Run when you will. The story shall be changed: Apollo flies, and Daphne holds the chase. The dove pursues the griffin, the mild hind Makes speed to catch the tiger: bootless speed, When cowardice pursues, and valour flies. (*Midsummer*, 2.1.230-234)

---

More bluntly, she explains, “We cannot fight for love, as men may do;/ We should be wooed, and were not made to woo” (2.1.241-42). Julia’s challenge to the natural order finds a world similarly out of joint. Beyond Valentine’s two invocations of Leander, and Julia’s imagined Ariadne, the Duke angrily identifies Valentine with Phaeton – Apollo’s half-mortal son, who perishes for his ambition to drive his father’s chariot of the sun – and Proteus likens the amorous power of music to Orpheus’ lute (3.1.153-55, 3.2.77-80). Each of these classical figures conjures not only tragedy, but specifically tragic overreaching: Leander believes he can conquer the Hellespont, Ariadne imagines she has domesticated the wild Theseus, Phaeton wants to rule the sun, and Orpheus challenges Hades by trying to bring his beloved back from the underworld. As such, they also conjure the tragic overreachers of Christopher Marlowe, whose *Hero and Leander* was probably already circulating in manuscript (though not yet in print) when Shakespeare wrote *Two Gentlemen*, and whose larger-than-life protagonists had recently reshaped the tragic stage. With the notable exception of his *Dido, Queen of Carthage*, Marlowe’s tragic overreachers are typically male, but in Julia Shakespeare transforms their sex as well as their genre, drawing on the particular vulnerability and passionate intensity attributed to women in order to alter these figures’ emotional impact.

Leander, Ariadne, Phaeton, and Orpheus never attain what they desire, and their long shadows hover darkly over the play’s final pairings. When Proteus learns that his page Sebastian is Julia in disguise, the revelation startles him back to his original love, and his ensuing vow – “Bear witness, heaven, I have my wish for ever” – echoes in Julia’s “And I mine” (5.4.117-118). Yet Proteus has vowed and disavowed himself before, and Julia has just witnessed his threat to rape Silvia: this is hardly the “Elysium” that she earlier imagined as their reunion (2.7.38). Silvia does not fare better. Retracting his offer to Proteus, Valentine similarly reclaims her, but she never expresses her response to marrying at a man who moments earlier had given her to a would-be rapist. What are we to make of this apparent happy ending? Comedy, Shakespeare suggests, is as precarious as it is serendipitous. If the genre, like Leander, is to plunge headfirst into deep love, it also plunges into heartbreak, with all its attendant risks. Yet without this plunge, it remains stuck in “some shallow story.” Valentine and Proteus, for all their lamentation, remain cautiously in their shoes throughout the play. In her willingness to brave the Hellespont, Julia represents not only tragedy, but the deeper possibilities of comedy itself.
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At the age of 25, Elizabeth Tudor is proclaimed Elizabeth I Queen of England, succeeding Mary I.

William Shakespeare is born to John Shakespeare and Mary Arden of Stratford-upon-Avon.

John Shakespeare is made an alderman of Stratford.

James Stuart is born to Mary Queen of Scots and Henry Stuart. Elizabeth is made his Godmother. He is crowned James VI King of Scots thirteen months later, after his mother abdicated.

The Red Lion playhouse opens in Whitechapel, east of the city walls.

John Shakespeare is elected Bailiff of Stratford.

Richard Burbage is born. Richard, the son of James Burbage, will eventually play most of Shakespeare’s leading parts like Hamlet, Richard III, Othello, and Lear.

The “Act for the Punishment of Vagabonds” is enacted, requiring all companies of players to be authorized or licensed by individuals of the nobility. With licensure comes the financial backing and stature that solidifies and legitimizes repertory companies in London, effectively laying the foundation for the explosion of dramatic literature, players, and purpose-built theatres of the following decades.

James Burbage opens the Theatre, London’s first purpose-built playhouse, in Shoreditch, north of the city walls.

Chronicles of England, Scotland, and Ireland by Raphael Holinshed first published. His Chronicles include the legendary reigns of King Leir and Queen Cordeilla.

Mary Arden Shakespeare pawns her estate at Wilmcote and her lands at Snitterfield to help pay off family debts.

John Shakespeare is sued for his inability to redeem Mary’s pawned properties.

18-year-old William Shakespeare marries Anne Hathaway.

Susanna is born to William and Anne Shakespeare.

Twins, Hamnet and Judith, are born to William and Anne Shakespeare.

John Shakespeare is fined for not attending church.

The Rose playhouse opens on Bankside in Surrey.

John Shakespeare loses his position as alderman.

King James marries Anne of Denmark


The True Chronicle History of King Leir probably written by an anonymous playwright. Records exist of the play being performed by the Lord Chamberlain’s Men as early as 1594.
THE PLAYWRIGHT WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE

1590  Henry VI, part 1
1591  Henry VI, parts 2 and 3
1592  Richard III
1593  In London, deaths from the plague are listed at over 10,000. Comedy of Errors; Titus Andronicus; The Taming of the Shrew
1594  London’s theatres officially reopen.

William Shakespeare becomes a shareholder in the Lord Chamberlain’s Men, helmed by James Burbage and his sons, Richard and Cuthbert.

The Two Gentlemen of Verona; Love’s Labour’s Lost; King John

“Venus and Adonis” and “The Rape of Lucrece,” Shakespeare’s epic poems, published.

1595  Richard II; Romeo and Juliet; A Midsummer Night’s Dream
1596  The Faerie Queene, Books IV-VI by Edmund Spenser first published.

John Shakespeare is granted a coat of arms.

Shakespeare’s son, Hamnet, dies at the age of eleven.

The Merchant of Venice; Henry IV, part 1

1597  James Burbage refurbishes the Blackfriars Theatre, located within the walls of the City of London. The company is unable to occupy it due to complaints from its neighbors. Various companies of boy players are allowed to occupy the Blackfriars after 1600.

The Merry Wives of Windsor

1598  James VI of Scotland publishes The True Law of Free Monarchies, a treatise on the divine right of kings.

James Burbage’s the Theatre is closed. Building materials from the Theatre are used in building the Globe.

Henry IV, Part 2; Much Ado About Nothing

1599  The Globe opens.

Henry V; Julius Caesar; As You Like It

1600  Charles Stuart is born to James VI of Scotland and Anne of Denmark in Fife, Scotland. He is the youngest of three children to survive infancy.

Hamlet

1601  John Shakespeare dies.

Twelfth Night; Troilus and Cressida

“The Phoenix and the Turtle,” Shakespeare’s last epic poem, is published in Robert Chester’s Love’s Martyr.

1602  All’s Well That Ends Well

1603  Queen Elizabeth dies, and James VI King of Scots is declared James I King of England and Ireland.
The Lord Chamberlain’s Men, Shakespeare’s company, are licensed by King James and renamed the King’s Men.

1604 *Measure for Measure, Othello*

1605 The ‘Gunpowder Plot,’ named after a planned attempt to assassinate King James and blow up the House of Lords with 37 barrels of gunpowder located beneath Parliament, is thwarted.

*King Lear*

1606 *King Lear* is performed during Christmas festivities at Whitehall for King James.


*Macbeth; Antony and Cleopatra*

1607 *Coriolanus; Timon of Athens; Pericles*

1608 The first quarto of *King Lear* is published.

The King’s Men are permitted to occupy the Blackfriars Theatre.

Mary Arden Shakespeare dies.

1609 Sonnets published.

*Cymbeline*

1610 *The Winter’s Tale*

1611 The authorized King James Bible published.

*The Tempest*

1612 Shakespeare retires to Stratford.

*Henry VIII*, co-written by John Fletcher and Shakespeare; *Cardenio*, a play that is not extant

1613 The Globe catches fire during a performance of *Henry VIII* and burns down.

*Two Noble Kinsmen* co-written by John Fletcher and Shakespeare.

1614 The Globe is rebuilt and opens.

1616 Shakespeare dies on April 23 and is buried in Stratford’s holy Trinity Church.

1619 Richard Burbage dies.

1623 The First Folio of Shakespeare’s complete works is published.

Anne Hathaway dies.

1625 King James dies and is succeeded by Charles I King of England.

1642–1660 The Puritans overthrow the monarchy of Charles I and close the playhouses during the English Civil War. Soldiers dissolve public performances by rebellious theatre companies, imprison the actors and strip them of their costumes. Charles I is executed at the beginning of 1649.
While in rehearsal for The Two Gentlemen of Verona, the co-artistic directors of Fiasco Theater (l-r) Noah Brody, Jessie Austrian, and Ben Steinfeld, sat down with the artistic director of Theatre for a New Audience, Jeffrey Horowitz. Photo by Gerry Goodstein.

JEFFREY HOROWITZ: Your first Shakespeare was one of his last plays, your second Shakespeare was one of his middle plays, and your third Shakespeare is one of his earliest, if not his first; you're working backwards. What did you discover about this play that led you to want to work on it, and what did you continue to discover when you did work on it?

BEN STEINFELD: We discovered working on this play that, while it may be one of Shakespeare’s first, and while it may be a play that’s not at the top of most people’s “greatest hits” lists, it has a tremendous amount going on in it that does more than just signal the arrival of a young, talented guy. It has a lot going on for an audience, and it has a lot going on for actors at the level of language, at the level of wit, at the level of philosophy, about how we see ourselves reflected in other people.

That deep humanism is already well underway, that deep examination of how changing our identity — whether through dressing like someone else, or a new relationship — how that changes our relationship to everything.

JESSIE AUSTRIAN: We discovered that in this play, as in all his other plays, he writes incredible characters, and specifically the women – I really marvel at the writing of the women in this play. It’s easy looking back to think, “Oh, well it’s his first play, he hasn’t fully developed his voice in the way that he does later with Viola, or Imogen, or Isabella,” but he has. Silvia is a phenomenal character. It’s become very clear the more we look at this play, and hear it, that he’s already working on all the layers that he’s working on later. In some ways, he does it in this play with more simplicity and efficiency and lightness.
JH: What do you find extraordinary about the women in this play?

JA: The strength of their voices, even though they are young, and the way that they are discovering themselves, what they believe in, what they care about, and putting that into language out in the world.

I think the women in this play are very courageous. It’s easy now, looking at the whole canon of Shakespeare to say, “Oh, the trope of a woman dressing like a boy to go into the woods and get what she wants,” but it’s incredibly courageous. And to create a character who decides that it is worth it for love — in the pursuit of love, it is worth it to change her whole identity to try to be with the person who she feels completes herself. And then to realize she was herself the whole time, or that now she is a divided self and has found this other part of herself that she didn’t know existed when she was in a dress, is incredibly courageous, incredibly bold playwriting.

And the same with Silvia, too, to deny the cultural decision that the father decides who she marries, and to say, “No.” To say no, and to run off into the woods, and reject the status quo — the cultural status quo — is unbelievable.

JH: Silvia says, “It’s an injustice to marry someone I don’t love,” she actually uses that word. For Silvia, and for Julia, how do they think about what is just in love?

JA: Shakespeare writes specific voices and specific world views, and Silvia and Julia are in different places: Julia is a mess at the beginning of this play, is at the mercy of love, and can’t control around impulses, and Silvia can. Silvia is much more mature, and I think that’s a very human thing, that no 22 year-old women are at the same place developmentally in respect to love.

And the same with the “Two Gents” — love infects them differently, and the way that they diverge and make choices based on their infection of love is based...
on their character, and they learn about themselves by seeing their actions mirrored in their counterpart.

JH: Noah, what does the name “Proteus” mean to you? Why did Shakespeare name him “Proteus?”

NOAH BRODY: I think Shakespeare names the character “Proteus” because of his changeability. He possesses a nature that is malleable, that is capable, as he says — he puts it on Julia — but is capable of being metamorphosed. He’s able to take on new understanding, new belief, and change on a dime.

JH: Does he enjoy change?

NB: Does he enjoy change? It’s who he is. It’s who he is, so he just does. Whether he seeks change, I don’t know, but he accepts change as part of the way it works. “I thought this, and now I think that. I believe this, but now I understand that I really believe that,” which actually feels very, very human to me. His understandings happen to lead him down the wrong path, if you want to judge him for a moment, but I think that Proteus thinks of personal, and even ethical malleability as part of the way life works. And he serves the master in front of him, and when presented with a conundrum that the master demands — a contradiction — he seeks for a way to reconcile that contradiction.

JH: Who is Proteus’ master?

NB: Proteus’ master is love.

JH: And what kind of — if love walked into the room, and sat down with Proteus, what kind of person would love be? He admires, and he serves love; what kind of person is love?

NB: I don’t know that Proteus actually admires love. I think that the way that you needn’t necessarily admire your master, but you obey your master. And I think that he believes himself to be mastered, and therefore he has to serve, but he serves in the way that he thinks is full and is best. But he serves a
god; gods aren’t necessarily likeable. They aren’t to be challenged in that way.

The god suggests to Proteus — and sometimes I think maybe Proteus thinks of it as demanding — that he make an oath, that he profess an oath of his love to Julia. And then, the god suggests and demands that he make his oath of love to Silvia.

And the way that love works, the way that Cupid works is he releases his shaft, and it hits you, and that’s that. Now, it just so happens that Proteus got hit with two shots. So what do you do when you get hit by two shots of love? How do you reconcile that? And that’s the problem that Proteus has, so he tries to find the way that he can do that in the most positive way that is in pursuit of love.

JH: If Valentine didn’t stop Proteus from forcing himself on Silvia, what would Proteus have done?

NB: If Valentine hadn’t stopped Proteus – I’ll be perfectly honest with you, I don’t know. I don’t know what Proteus would’ve done, and really, as an actor, I don’t care. In fact, I think it’s important that we don’t know. I don’t believe it’s really what he wants — it’s born of desperation — and I think an error would be to ascribe some form of knowledge of what would happen. I think it’s actually important that, as in life, you’re on a trajectory, and then something happens that knocks you onto a new trajectory, and you don’t know how the former one would’ve ended.

JA: That’s very human to me, the fact that we don’t know what Proteus might do if Valentine doesn’t interrupt him at the end, and Sebastian isn’t there. I think Shakespeare has done that on purpose. That’s not what Shakespeare is asking — “What would he have done?” — that’s not the point. And that feels very human to me because, there’s many things in our lives, in our adolescence when we’re first in love, impulses that we start to follow, and people wiser than ourselves put boundaries on those impulses.

That is what society does for a reason. And I think, perhaps, as in his other plays, one of the many things Shakespeare may be talking about is, “Where are those boundaries between following carnal impulses and being part of a community, a society that has to have rules?”

JH: Shakespeare writes a lot about transgression. What do you think fascinates Shakespeare about transgression? Why do you think he likes it?

BS: One of the reasons I think he’s fascinated by transgression is because it’s a space where you can’t predict what’s going to happen; you get a double benefit from that. One benefit is what we might now call sort of political: you get the opportunity to have a macro view of the circumstances, the culture, the signifiers, all that stuff that creates something where someone would cross a boundary that the culture says they couldn’t cross.

So you get to talk about those macro things, but you also get to talk about the micro things: the internal things, the place where we are encountering borders of the self, borders of what we can conceptualize intellectually, borders of what we think we can handle emotionally, borders of what we think our bodies can process physically. And when you encounter those kinds of opportunities to transgress, that means something human is about to take place, something unpredictable to the person to whom it is happening, and then you can have verse.

Then you can have soliloquy, then you can have a moment in which a human being is standing in front of other human beings saying “Something has just taken place, and now we’re going to process it, now we’re going to work through it.” So I think that’s why he’s interested in it, because it has a tremendous amount of theatrical and dramatic potency.

JH: The play is called a romantic comedy —

JA: Who calls the play a romantic comedy?

JH: Many, many critics do. There’s a lot of romance in it, but is it in fact a romantic comedy, or is it something else?

JA: I think it’s a — I think if this play is a romantic comedy, it’s because we, the audience, see ourselves mirrored back. We see how love makes us crazy, we see how romance makes us insane, makes
us blind, divides our self, makes us sad, makes us do unpredictable things, has an impact on our bodies, and our minds; and that is funny to recognize in ourselves.

**NB:** I don’t know if this play is a romantic comedy. I understand why it’s called that — it’s about love, and it uses the vehicle of humor, and situations that are humorous to investigate questions of love, of passion, of desire. And so for those reasons those two words are accurate, but those for Shakespeare are just opportunities for exploring humanity. And I think one of the reasons we hem and haw about whether these titles apply is because we’ve gotten used to the idea of the term “Romantic comedy” as something that’s relatively flat.

We understand that we’re going to go from A to B to C, and that there isn’t that much at stake, and we can sort of see what’s coming. But within every one of his plays, all of these characters are fully developed humans, and so it’s never just one thing. So within the kind of construct — and just like the construct of a sonnet, you need boundaries within which to play and explore — he uses the construct of an inherited style of romantic comedy.

**JH:** One of the definitions of a romantic comedy is it ends in marriage. The genre of romantic comedy says these couples are just great, and they’re finally together. This play seems to be doing something else, so what, for you, are the marriages of Proteus and Julia, Valentine and Silvia going to be?
BS: Like most of Shakespeare’s romantic comedies, this play does end with two couples deciding to get married — as we might say in the T.V. world, a “the right people end up together” kind of thing. And there’s a cleanliness to it that might be counter to what happens sometimes in our real lives. But one thing that I’ve learned from my own marriage, for sure, is that nobody has any idea what anybody else’s relationship is really like.

No matter how close you are with friends, or with family members — nobody. I’ve seen my parents up close for a long time, I really have no idea what their marriage is like. But I didn’t know that until I undertook the very private, very personal sequence of events that leads you to decide that you want to be with someone, and then to build a life with them. So Shakespeare tends to take responsibility for the stuff that gets us to deciding that you want to marry someone, and all the crazy stuff that could go into that; what two people might need from each other.

And we might laugh at that, or we might cry about that, but it’s certainly not for us to say whether or not it works because I know all kinds of couples that work in radically different ways; so that doesn’t seem untruthful to me.

Shakespeare tends to stop short of the day after, and that’s his choice as a playwright; lots of playwrights have written interesting things about marriage, but it’s not really Shakespeare’s thing when it comes to romantic comedy. So I think it’s just a different subject. I think the subject of deciding to get married, and falling in love is a different subject than what it’s like to be married.

And so while the audience might have mixed reactions as to whether or not the forgiveness is justified, one thing that I think we feel from our own lives is that forgiveness, authentic forgiveness, is the most powerful love of all.

JA: I think it’s beautiful that in a romantic comedy, Shakespeare doesn’t just focus on the two couples — it’s not just about the lovers. It’s about the love between these two male friends, the love between Julia and Lucetta, her servant, all of the servant and master combinations, and the love between a guy and his dog, and the betrayal that one can feel from one’s pet.

So within the genre of romantic comedy, he looks at all the different kinds of love. And the act of forgiving a loved one — be it your friend, or your lover, or your dog — is a necessary act for yourself, in order to move on in life. Part of loving is to forgive, and part of loving is to accept forgiveness when you’ve apologized.

This interview has been edited and condensed.
**THE PRODUCTION CAST AND CREATIVE TEAM**

**BEN STEINFELD** (Co-director) is an actor, director, teacher, and musician who is co-director of but not appearing in *The Two Gentlemen of Verona*. Mr. Steinfeld has been seen on Broadway in the recent Roundabout Theatre Company revival of *Cyrano de Bergerac*, and as James Monroe in *Bloody Bloody Andrew Jackson*. He has acted in and co-directed Fiasco Theater’s acclaimed productions of *Cymbeline* (Theatre for a New Audience and the Barrow Street Theatre) and *Into the Woods* (McCarter Theatre and The Old Globe). Other regional acting credits include: *Misalliance* (Portland Center Stage), *Design for Living* (Williamstown), *Room Service* (Westport), and ten plays with Trinity Rep. Mr. Steinfeld’s television and film work includes: HBO’s “Muhammad Ali’s Greatest Fight,” “The Good Wife,” “Law & Order: Criminal Intent,” and the only film adaptation of Christopher Marlowe’s *The Jew of Malta*. Mr. Steinfeld co-authored an essay for the book *Living With Shakespeare* (Random House) and is an artist-in-residence with New Jersey Symphony Orchestra. He is co-artistic director of the celebrated Fiasco Theater and is a graduate of Brown University and the Brown/Trinity M.F.A. Program.

**JESSIE AUSTRIAN** (Co-director / Julia) is co-director of and performing in *The Two Gentleman of Verona* and is a co-artistic director and founder of Fiasco Theater. Broadway: *The Importance of Being Earnest, Lend Me a Tenor*. Off-Broadway: Fiasco’s *Into the Woods* (Roundabout Theatre Company), Fiasco’s *Measure for Measure* (The New Victory Theatre), Fiasco’s *Cymbeline* (Theatre For a New Audience and Barrow Street), *The Marriage of Bette and Boo* (Roundabout). Regional credits include *Into the Woods*, McCarter Theatre Center and Old Globe; *The Two Gentlemen of Verona*, Folger Theatre; *Jane Eyre*, The Guthrie; *The Mystery of Edwin Drood*, Trinity Repertory Company; *My Fair Lady*, Actors’ Theatre of Louisville and Virginia Stage Company; *Cabaret & Main*, Williamstown. TV: “Unbreakable Kimmy Schmidt,” “Made in Jersey.” Adjunct faculty at New York University Gallatin School. Proud graduate of Brown University and the Brown-Trinity M.F.A. Acting Program.

**NOAH BRODY** (Proteus) is an actor, director, writer, and teacher. He is a co-artistic director and head of producing for Fiasco Theater. Mr. Brody has co-directed Fiasco’s productions of *Into the Woods, Measure for Measure, Twelfth Night* and *Cymbeline*, which received the 2012 Off Broadway Award for best revival. He has acted in all of Fiasco’s productions including the *Two Gentlemen of Verona*. He has acted at theaters around the country and in Europe, appeared shirtless on soaps, headless on a crime drama, and as a dismembered torso on some underwear boxes. He teaches acting, voice and text through Fiasco and the NYU Gallatin School summer Shakespeare Intensive. He is a proud graduate of the Brown-Trinity M.F.A. Acting program.

*Photo credits:* This page, top to bottom: Ben Steinfeld, photo by Gerry Goodstein; Jessie Austrian and Noah Brody in Theatre for a New Audience’s production of Fiasco Theater’s *The Two Gentlemen of Verona*, photos by Gerry Goodstein.
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PAUL COFFEY (Speed / Thurio) Paul has appeared Off-Broadway in Fiasco’s productions of Into the Woods, Measure for Measure, and Cymbeline; and in Theatre for a New Audience’s recent production of The Taming of the Shrew. Regionally he has appeared in Fiasco’s Into the Woods at The Old Globe in San Diego, CA and at the McCarter Theater Center in Princeton, NJ, as well as in Fiasco’s The Two Gentlemen of Verona at The Folger Shakespeare Theater in Washington, DC. Other regional credits include work with Trinity Repertory Company, Pig Iron Theatre Company, The Vineyard Playhouse, Company of Fools, BoarsHead Theater, The Theater at Monmouth, The Peterborough Players and The Berkshire Theatre Festival. Paul is a graduate of the Brown University/Trinity Rep Graduate Acting Program where he was a Stephen Sondheim Fellow.

ZACHARY FINE (Valentine / Crab) appeared in Fiasco Theater’s production of The Two Gentlemen of Verona at the Folger Theater where he won the Helen Hayes Award for Outstanding Supporting Actor in a Play; Manifest Destiny (IRT Theater) Creator Performer; Julius Caesar (The Acting Company); The Seagull (New York Classical Theatre); A People (Terranova Collective); Walled In, a clown show based on Henry David Thoreau’s Walden, creator/performer (IRT Theater); All Day Suckers (New Feet Productions); Two Noble Kinsmen (Guerrilla Shakespeare Project). Regional: theaters include Folger Theatre, Guthrie Theater, Playmakers Repertory Theater, Asolo Theatre Company, Franklin Stage, Fulton Opera House, Great River Shakespeare Festival (2 seasons), Colorado Shakespeare Festival, Clarence Brown Theatre Company, Off-Square Theatre Company. Television and Film: “Person of Interest,” “Blackbox,” “Heartland,” “One Life to Live,” “A Midsummer Night’s Dream” (NewBookPress), “Z-Rock.”

ANDY GROTELUESCHEN (Launce / Duke) has appeared in Fiasco Theater’s Into the Woods (Roundabout Theatre Company), The Two Gentlemen of Verona (Folger Theatre), Measure for Measure (The New Victory Theater), Into the Woods (Old Globe, McCarter Theatre Center), Twelfth Night, and Cymbeline (Theatre for A New Audience, Barrow Street Theatre). He made his Broadway debut with Roundabout in Cyrano de Bergerac. His other New York City credits include Petruchio in The Taming of the Shrew (Theatre for a New Audience), Balm in Gilead with Michael Shannon, Monstrosity (13P), The Scariest (The Exchange), and The Glass Contraction’s The Amazing Ted Show! (Ars Nova). Regionally he has appeared in The Servant of Two Masters (Yale Repertory Theatre, The Shakespeare Theatre Company), The Heart of Robin Hood (American Repertory Theater), and Noises Off (Actors Theatre of Louisville). His other regional credits include Guthrie Theater, The Acting Company, The Broad Stage, Sundance Institute Theatre Labs, Arizona Theatre Company and Trinity Repertory Company. His film projects include Still on the Road (PBS), American Gladiators, and the upcoming Geezer. On television, he appeared on “Elementary” (CBS) and “The Good Wife” (CBS). Mr. Grotelueschen is a graduate of the Brown-Trinity M.F.A. Acting Program, an apprentice to Christopher Bayes, and a Fiasco Theater company member, and he is from Iowa.
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EMILY YOUNG (Lucetta / Sylvia) is a Fiasco Theater company member. Broadway: Bloody Bloody Andrew Jackson. Off-Broadway with Fiasco Theater: Into the Woods, Roundabout Theatre Company; Measure for Measure, New Victory Theater; Cymbeline, Theater For A New Audience/Barrow Street Theater. Other off-Broadway credits: Bloody Bloody Andrew Jackson, The Public Theater; Romeo and Juliet, Theater Breaking Through Barriers; Colorado, SPF ’04. Regional: Into the Woods, The Old Globe, McCarter Theater Center; The Two Gentlemen of Verona and Cymbeline, The Folger Theater; King Lear, Much Ado About Nothing, North Carolina Shakespeare Festival; Love’s Labor’s Lost, Henry V, and Much Ado About Nothing, Illinois Shakespeare Festival; Cherry Orchard, Trinity Rep; Emma, Kennedy Center’s Millennium Festival. Workshops/readings: Sundance Theater Lab, New York Stage & Film, ADP at TFANA, dirs. Cicely Berry and Andrew Wade. Film: God of Love (winner of Academy Award for Best Live Action Short 2011), Manhattan Melody (official selection at Telluride, Atlanta, and Woodstock film festivals), Natives (Winner Best Short Seattle LGBT Film Festival, official selection SXSW, The East Village Film series, New Orleans Film Festival, et al). Training: Brown-Trinity; B.A. Brown University.


WHITNEY LOCHER (Costume Designer) Off-Broadway: Into The Woods (Roundabout), Ethel Sings (Theatre Row); Fiasco Theater's Measure For Measure (New Victory); Henry IV, Part 1 (Pearl Theatre Company); Nymph Errant (Prospect Theatre Company); Fiasco Theater's Cymbeline (TFANA/Barrow Street) Regional: Fiasco Theater's The Two Gentlemen of Verona (Folger); Venus in Fur (Cleveland Play House); Fiasco Theatre’s Into The Woods (Old Globe, McCarter) Associate Costume Designer for The 66th Annual Tony Awards. Resident Costume Designer Fiasco Theater, Partial Comfort Productions.

TIM CRYAN (Lighting Designer) Recent lighting design credits with Fiasco Theatre include: Cymbeline (TFANA/Barrow St Theatre), Into the Woods (McCarter Theatre & the Old Globe) and Measure for Measure (New Victory). Additional credits: The Magnificent Cuckold (dir. Paul Bargetto), Open Up, Hadrian (Carborca Theatre), Poetics: A Ballet Brut (Nature Theater of Oklahoma). Adjunct Faculty: Dance Department Long Island University (Brooklyn Campus). Guest artist: Providence College. M.F.A NYU Tisch School of the Arts. Timcryan.net
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ANDY DIAZ (Properties Supervisor) is a set and props designer making prop magic out of his living room in Brooklyn. Andrew has been a props designer for numerous theater companies, including: The Signature Theater, New York Theatre Workshop, Carnegie Hall, Classic Stage Company, Primary Stages, The Public, Theater For A New Audience, Cherry Lane Theater, Rattlestick Playwrights Theater, among others. BFA University of Arizona School Of Theater Arts. www.andrewdiazdesign.squarespace.com

JONATHAN KALB (Dramaturg) is Literary Advisor and Resident Artist at Theater for a New Audience and Professor of Theater at Hunter College, CUNY. He has been writing about theater for 35 years, is the author of 5 books and hundreds of articles on theater, and has twice won the George Jean Nathan Award for Dramatic Criticism. He is currently writing a series of essays about smiling, one of which appeared in The New Yorker earlier this year.

ANDREW WADE (Vocal Coach) is Resident Director of Voice at Theatre for a New Audience where he has coached King Lear, The Killer, A Midsummer Night’s Dream, Much Ado About Nothing, The Taming of the Shrew, The Broken Heart, Macbeth, Hamlet, Chair, and Notes from Underground. Head of Voice, RSC, 1990-2003. Assistant Voice Director, RSC, 1987-1990. Verse Consultant, Shakespeare in Love. Adjunct faculty at Julliard and Guest Artist at Stella Adler Studio. At Guthrie Theater Andrew has coached Primerose Path, Much Ado About Nothing, A Midsummer Night’s Dream, Macbeth, Two Gentlemen of Verona, Hamlet and As You Like It; He is Voice Director for Matilda and Director of Voice & Speech at The Public Theater.

SHANE SCHNETZLER (Production Stage Manager) TFANA: Tamburlaine, Cymbeline (Fiasco). Off-Broadway: The Liquid Plain, The Old Friends (Signature). King Lear, The Comedy of Errors, Detroit ’67 (Public); Red Dog Howls (NYTW); Uncle Vanya (Soho Rep); Look Back in Anger, The Milk Train Doesn’t Stop Here Anymore, The Language Archive, Ordinary Days, Distracted, Streamers (Roundabout); The Scottsboro Boys, Arlington, Picked (Vineyard); The Loves of Pharaoh (BAM). Regional: The Two Gentlemen of Verona (Folger); The Scottsboro Boys (Guthrie).

FIASCO THEATER is an ensemble theater company created by graduates of the Brown University/Trinity Rep M.F.A. acting program. Past shows: Into the Woods (Roundabout, McCarter Theatre Center and The Old Globe), The Two Gentlemen of Verona (Folger Theatre), Cymbeline (TFANA/Barrow Street, Off-Broadway Alliance Award for best revival), Measure for Measure (New Victory Theater) and Twelfth Night (Access Theater). Residencies: Sundance Theatre Lab, New Victory LabWorks, NYU-Gallatin, Duke, Marquette, LSU, Orchard Project and Shakespeare Society. www.fiascotheater.com

**Sluggardized**
Turned lazy
Valentine: I rather would entreat thy company / To see the wonders of the world abroad / Than, living dully sluggardized at home....

**Hap**
Fortune
Proteus: Wish me partaker in thy happiness / When thou dost meet good hap....

**Cavil**
To make petty objections.
Proteus: ’Tis love you cavil at, I am not love.

**Environ**
Surround with hostile intention

**Beadsman**
Someone hired to say prayers for another, on a rosary.
Proteus: If ever danger do environ thee, / Commend thy grievance to my holy prayers / For I will be thy beadsman, Valentine.

**Votary**
A loyal follower
Valentine: But wherefore waste I time to counsel thee / That art a votary to fond desire?

**Ducat**
A gold coin
Speed: Sir, I could perceive nothing at all from her, no, not so much as a ducat for delivering your letter.

**Deign**
Accept
Proteus: I fear my Julia would not deign my lines....

**Parle**
Conversation
Julia: Of all the fair resort of gentlemen / That everyday with parle encounter me, / In thy opinion which is worthiost of love?

**Leander crossed the Hellespont**
A reference to the Greek myth of Hero and Leander, in which Leander attempts to swim to Hellespont in order to save his love, Hero. He drowns during the attempt. The story has been referenced from artists from Marlowe to Franz Liszt to A.E Housman.

Emily Young in The Folger Theatre production of Fiasco Theatre’s The Two Gentlemen of Verona. Photo by Jeff Malet.
Wanton
Promiscuous
Julia: Dare you presume to harbour wanton lines?

Chid
Scolded
Julia: It were a shame to call her back again / And pray her to a fault for which I chid her.

Profferer
A person holding out something to be accepted.
Julia: Since maids in modesty say 'No' to that / Which they would have the profferer construe 'Ay.'

Month's mind:
Finding something favorable
Lucetta: What, shall these papers lie like telltales here?
Julia: If you respect them, best to take them up.
Lucetta: Nay, I was taken up for laying them down. / Yet here they shall not lie, for catching cold.
Julia: I see you have a month's mind to them.

Preferment
A promotion
Antonio: While other fathers, of slender reputation / Put forth their sons to seek preferment out.

Hammering
Thinking over
Antonio: Nor need'st thou much importune me to that / whereon this month I have been hammering.

Peremptory
Fully resolved
Antonio: Tomorrow be in readiness to go. / Excuse it not, for I am peremptory.

Decks
Covers
Valentine: Sweet ornament that decks a thing divine!

Puling
Whining
Hallowmas
The feast of All Saints Day, celebrated on Nov 1st
Speed: To speak puling, like a beggar at Hallowmas

Ungartered
With loose hose; a sign that someone is lovesick
Speed: O that you had mine eyes, or your own eyes had the lights they were wont to have when you chid at Sir Proteus for going ungarttered.

Clerkly
Well done; like a scholar
Silvia: I thank you gentle servant, 'tis very clerkly done.

Quaintly
Skillfully
Silvia: Yes, yes, the lines are very quaintly writ.

Turn not
Remain faithful
Proteus: When possibly I can I will return.
Julia: If you turn not, you will return the sooner.

O'erslips
Passes by
Proteus: And when that hour o'erslips me in the day / Wherein I sigh not, Julia, for thy sake, / The next ensuing hour some foul mischance / Torment me for my love's forgetfulness.

Prodigious Son
Launce ironically references the parable of the prodigal son. The story tells of a son who is forgiven by his father after squandering his inheritance.
Lance: Thou shalt never get such a secret from me but by a parable.

Forswear
To commit perjury
Proteus: To leave my Julia shall I be forsworn; / To love fair Silvia shall I be forsworn....

Tarry
Delay
Panthino: Away, ass, you'll lose the tide if you tarry any longer.

Quote
Observe (pronounced cote)
Jerkin
A long jacket worn over a doublet
 Thurio: And how quote you my folly? Valentine: I quote it in your jerkin.

Sedge
A grassy plant that grows in wet areas.
Julia: He makes sweet music with th' enamelled stones, / Giving a gentle kiss to every sedge / He overtaketh in his pilgrimage....
Codpiece
A fashionable flap at the crotch of men’s pants.
Lucetta: You must needs have them with a codpiece, madam.

Tarriance
Delay.
Julia: I am impatient of my tarriance.

Suggested
Tempted.
Duke: And that thou mayst perceive my fear of this, / Knowing that tender youth is soon suggested, / I nightly lodge her in an upper tower, / The key whereof myself have ever kept....

Pretense
Plan.
Proteus: For love of you, not hate unto my friend, / Hath made me publisher of this pretense.

For why
Because.
Valentine: If she do chide, ’tis not to have you gone. / For why she is mad if left alone.

Phaëton
The illegitimate son of the the Sun God Phoebus. His mother’s mortal husband was Merops. Phaëton begged his father to let him drive his chariot. The wish was granted, but he lost control and burnt much of the Earth. He was killed by Jupiter with a thunderbolt, while out of control.

Duke: Why, Phaëton— for thou art Merops’ son— wilt thou aspire to guide the heavenly car, and with thy daring folly burn the world?

Surfeit
Sicken from indulgence.
Valentine: O, I have fed upon this woe already, / And now excess of it will make me surfeit.

Wash and scour
To clean the surface of something; also to knock down and beat.
Speed: Item, she can wash and scour.
Lance: A special virtue, for then she need not be washed and scoured.

Liberal
Generous.
Speed: Item, she is too liberal.
Lance: Of her tongue she cannot, for that’s writ down she is slow of; of her purse she shall not, for that I’ll keep shut; now, of another thing she may, and that cannot I help. Well, proceed.

Lay lime
A reference to birdlime, a sticky substance used to trap birds.
Proteus: You must lay lime to tangle her desires / By wailful sonnets, whose composed rhymes / Should be full-fraught with serviceable vows.

Obdurate
Hard.
Proteus: Madam, if your heart be so obdurate, / Vouchsafe me yet your picture for my love, / The picture that is hanging in your chamber....
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**Hie**
Hasten
Silvia: That presently you hie you home to bed....

**Trencher**
Wooden plate
Lance: I was sent to deliver him as a present to Mistress Silvia from my master, and I came no sooner into the dining-chamber but he steps me to her trencher and steals her capon’s leg.

**Farthingale**
A hooped petticoat
Lance: When didst thou see me heave up my leg and make water against a gentlewoman’s farthingale?

**Augury**
An interpretation of omens
Proteus: Sebastian, I have entertained thee / Partly that I have need of such a youth / That can with some discretion do my business —/ For tis no trusting to bond foolish lout— / But chiefly for thy face and thy behavior, / Which, if my augury deceive me not, / Witness good bringing up, fortune and truth.

**Jove**
Another name for the god Jupiter.
Julia: I’ll use thee kindly for the mistress’ sake / That used me so; or else, by Jove I vow, / I should have scratched out your unseeing eyes / To make my master out of love with thee.

**Habiliments**
Clothes
**Disfurnish**
Remove
Valentine: My riches are these poor habiliments, / Of which, if you should here disfurnish me, / You take the sum and substance that I have.

**Repose**
Rely
Sylvia: I do desire thy worthy company / Upon whose faith and honor I do repose.

**Betideth**
Happens to
Julia: Recking as little what betideth me / As much I wish all good befortune you.

**Use**
Custom
Valentine: How use doth breed a habit in a man!

**Brook**
Endure
Valentine: This shadowy desert, unfrequented woods, / I better brook than flourishing peopled towns....

**Raiment**
An expensive dress, often lined with jewels
Julia: Be thou ashamed that I have took upon me / Such an inmodest raiment, if shame live / In a disguise of love.

**Derived**
Descended in birth
Duke: Sir Valentine, / Thou art a gentleman, and well derived; / Take thou thy Sylvia, for thou hast deserved her.

**Tender**
Precious
Silvia: O heaven be judge how I love Valentine, / Whose life’s as tender to me as my soul.
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